

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,616	06/19/2006	Stefan Kaeding	002664-9	8463
25570 ROBERTS MI	7590 10/14/200 LOTKOWSKI SAFRA		EXAM	IINER
Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 10064 MCJEAN, VA 22102-8064			MERKLING, MATTHEW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/596,616	KAEDING ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MATTHEW J. MERKLING	1795

camed pare	int term auju	sunent. Se	e 3/ CFP	1.704(0).

	MATTHEW J. MERKLING	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
Period for Reply	IS SET TO EVAIDE S MONTH	e) OD TUIDTY (9	0) DAVE
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Entensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.3 after 53K (5) MORTES from the mailing date of this communication. - Failties for party within the sat or extended period for reply with by the sate or extended period for reply with by the sate or extended period for reply with by the sate or extended period for reply with by the sate or extended period for entry with by the sate. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned paint term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.740E.	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ne 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E. 			e merits is
·	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	DO U.G. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>13-22</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	n from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents			
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	ed in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.	
Attachment(s)			
. N	6 D I-t	(DTO 440)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/19/06, 9/30/08.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-41
,	Dance Nata Made Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 13-15 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sennoun et al. (US 6,936,238).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, Sennoun discloses a reformer and method for converting fuel and oxidant into reformate, comprising:

an oxidation zone (90) connected to a supply of fuel (12) and a supply of oxidant (air, 18) and in which the fuel and oxidant are formed into an oxidized mixture (after being oxidized in oxidation zone 90);

a reforming zone (96), and an injection and mixture forming zone (38) between the oxidation zone and the reforming zone (see Fig. 3 which discloses such an arrangement) to which at least a portion of the oxidized mixture from the oxidation zone is mixed with an injected supply (via injector 59) of additional fuel (col. 4 lines 55-62) and from which the mixture with the additional fuel is supplied to the reforming zone upon an at least partial oxidation of the fuel (fuel is partially oxidized in zone 94 and reformed in zone 96, col. 6 lines 40-53);

wherein the reforming zone is connected to a source of heat (such as the oxidation zone 90, which is in a heat exchange relationship with the reforming zone 96).

Regarding claims 14 and 19, Sennoun further discloses the source of heat is an exothermic oxidation produced within the oxidation zone (see col. 2 lines 35-42 which discloses that the heat from the oxidation zone/first stage is transferred to the second stage/reforming zone).

Regarding claims 15 and 20, Sennoun further discloses the reforming zone is connected to an oxidant supply which supplies additional oxidant to the reforming zone (oxidant supply 61 is connected to the reforming zone 96 and supplies additional oxidant to it, see Fig. 3 and col. 4 lines 55-63).

Regarding claim 21, Sennoun further discloses the use of a liquid fuel (which is injected through injector 59) and will inherently be at least partially evaporated by thermal energy of the gas mixture delivered to the injection and mixing zone from the oxidation zone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/596,616 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sennoun et al.
 (US 6,936,238) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Kudo et al. (US 6,413,479).

Regarding claim 16, Sennoun discloses a tubular structure which discloses the oxidation zone and the reforming zone in close proximity to each other such that thermal energy is transferred from the oxidation zone to the reforming zone. More specifically, Sennoun teaches an apparatus where the oxidation zone (90) comprises at least one pipe which surrounds the reforming zone (96, see Fig. 3). However, Sennoun does not explicitly disclose a structure where the oxidation zone comprises at least one pipe which is arranged within the reforming zone.

Kudo also discloses an apparatus for which fuel is converted into a reformate (see abstract).

Kudo teaches an apparatus (Fig. 1) in which an oxidation zone (1) comprises at least one pipe which is arranged within the reforming zone (2). Kudo teaches such an arrangement in order to facilitate heat transfer from the oxidation zone to the reforming zone (col. 5 lines 31-34).

Art Unit: 1795

As such, reversing the configuration of Sennoun, such that the oxidation zone comprises at least one pipe which is arranged within the reforming zone (as taught by Kudo), would amount to nothing more than a simple substitution of one known element for another to yield an entirely predictable solution and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Claims 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Sennoun et al. (US 6,936,238) as applied to claims 13 and 18 above, and further in view of
 Marchand et al. (US 2002/0114747).

Regarding claims 17 and 22, Sennoun teaches a method and apparatus in which an oxidation zone (90) is utilized during startup to quickly heat a reforming zone (96).

Sennoun teaches that the heat transfer from the oxidation zone to the reforming zone is partially done indirectly through the apparatus wall (see Fig. 3 where oxidation zone 90 is separated from reforming zone 94 by a heat conducting wall which is not labeled).

However, Sennoun does not teach an apparatus or method in which a portion of the gas mixture bypasses the injection and mixture forming zone (38).

Marchand also discloses a method of starting up a reformer (see paragraph 109).

Marchand teaches a method and apparatus in which the startup/heatup of the reforming catalyst is done by direct heat exchange in order to speed the heatup time of the catalyst and not be bound by the specific heat transfer capacity of a reactant tube (paragraph 109). In other words, Marchand teaches that direct heat exchange of the catalyst is preferable to indirect heat exchange (such as through a heat conducting wall).

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a direct path from the first oxidation stage (90) of Sennoun to the reforming zone (96) of Sennoun (as suggested by Marchand) in order to provide a faster heatup of the catalyst due to a the direct heat exchange and one that is not bound by the specific heat transfer capacity of the apparatus walls. Such a configuration would provide for a passage for the mixed gas stream to bypass the injection and mixture forming zone and flow directly into the reforming zone.

Page 6

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW J. MERKLING whose telephone number is (571)272-9813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on (571) 272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,616 Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. J. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 1795
/Jennifer K. Michener/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795